



## **Immersia, an open immersive infrastructure: doing archaeology in virtual reality**

Ronan Gaugne, Valérie Gouranton, Georges Dumont, Alain Chauffaut, Bruno Arnaldi

### **► To cite this version:**

Ronan Gaugne, Valérie Gouranton, Georges Dumont, Alain Chauffaut, Bruno Arnaldi. Immersia, an open immersive infrastructure: doing archaeology in virtual reality. *Archeologia e Calcolatori*, 2014, pp.1-10. hal-01003383

**HAL Id: hal-01003383**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01003383>**

Submitted on 10 Jun 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Immersia, an open immersive infrastructure: doing archaeology in virtual reality

R. Gaugne<sup>1</sup>, V. Gouranton<sup>2</sup>, G. Dumont<sup>3</sup>, A. Chauffaut<sup>4</sup>, B. Arnaldi<sup>5</sup>

UMR IRISA, Inria Rennes Bretagne Atlantique, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

## ABSTRACT

*This paper contributes to cross-domain mutual enrichment between archaeology and virtual reality. We present Immersia, an open high-end platform dedicated to research on immersive virtual reality and its usages. Immersia is a node of the european project Visionair that offers an infrastructure for high level visualisation facilities open to research communities across Europe. In Immersia, two projects are currently active on the theme of archaeology thematics. One is relative to the study of the Cairn of Carn, with the Creaah, a pluridisciplinary research laboratory of archeology and archeosciences, and one on the reconstitution of the gallo-roman villa of Bais, with the French institute INRAP.*

## 1 INTRODUCTION TO VIRTUAL REALITY

Virtual reality is often considered solely as a field of computer science in relation to interactive digital 3D worlds. It actually holds a special position in the usual scientific scheme by coupling humanities sciences with engineering. According to Fuchs et al. [13] « *The purpose of virtual reality is to make possible a sensorimotor and cognitive activity for a person (or persons) in a digitally created artificial world, which can be imaginary, symbolic or a simulation of certain aspects of the real world.* » This proposition positions the man and its activity in the centre of virtual reality.

A technical and literal definition of virtual reality [13] attempts to characterise the domain in one compact and sufficiently consensual sentence so that the practitioners of the domain can relate to it. "Virtual reality is a scientific and technical domain that uses computer science and behavioural interfaces to simulate in a virtual world the behaviour of 3D entities, which interact in real time with each other and with one or more users in pseudo-natural immersion via sensorimotor channels."

### Virtual reality historic

Virtual reality is a relatively young domain. Ivan Sutherland is considered as a great precursor, through results published in his MIT PhD in 1963 [30]. He contributed shape editing and manipulation tasks with the SketchPad tool, which foreshadowed our current to industrial CAD tools. Shortly after, in 1965, Ivan Sutherland published "The Ultimate Display" [31] where, in particular, he discussed the key elements related to immersion, interaction, capture of user activity and realism of 3D environments. This is to be considered and assessed in a context where the practical implementation of these concepts was impossible given the available technology.

Known as the "inventor" of the term *Virtual Reality*, Jaron Lanier founded in the 80's the company VPL Research, the first SME to industrialize software and hardware solutions for the production of virtual reality applications. In particular, among its solutions, this company integrated the first Datagloves.

In any virtual reality application, the user is immersed and interacts with a virtual environment. Immersion is defined in [24] as a (perceptive, mental, emotional) state of a subject when one or several senses are isolated from outside and only stimulated with information from computers.

In the field of immersive visualization, the early 90s was marked by the development of immersive visualization systems with very wide screens, as the CAVE<sup>™</sup> in EVL (Electronic Visualization Laboratory: University of Illinois) [4], by Fanti and Cruz-Neira. This concept was then extended to less cumbersome concepts of workbench.

In 1990, Poupirev et al [25] proposed to categorize the interaction into two major families:

---

<sup>1</sup> Université de Rennes 1; Ronan.Gaugne@irisa.fr

<sup>2</sup> INSA de Rennes; Valerie.Gouranton@irisa.fr

<sup>3</sup> Ecole normale supérieure de Cachan, antenne de Bretagne ; Georges.Dumont@irisa.fr

<sup>4</sup> INRIA; Alain.Chauffaut@inria.fr

<sup>5</sup> INSA de Rennes; Bruno.Arnaldi@irisa.fr

<sup>6</sup> Project funded by the European Commission under grant agreement 262044

- Exocentric interactions: the user is in an external position in relation to the virtual environment so that the environment can be seen as a whole. Among these techniques, we find in a way World-in-Miniature [29], Metaphor resizing [20], and the technique of Voodoo-Dolls [23], all providing a means to manipulate objects by miniature representations;
- Egocentric Interactions: the user is inside the virtual world. Among these techniques, we find the technique of virtual hand and its many variants [19]: linear extension of the arm when the virtual user's hand is close to his body, then exponential expansion from a given distance [2].

Haptic perception when interacting (tactile feedback [18] or force feedback [28]) is a very strong trend in the scientific community, and contributes to multimodal feedback [34] (visual, auditory and haptic).

Concerning local or distant collaborative interaction [9], the problem is essentially to permit several users to interact simultaneously on the same environment. Collaborative work in Virtual Reality addresses the problem of the perception of other users and their activities [12]. Several models of synchronization and communication architectures [7], (client/server, P2P) can ensure the coherence of perceptual interactions and the validity of the collaborative interaction.

### Virtual reality and archaeology

Introduced by Reilly in 1990 [27], virtual archaeology was initially presented for excavation recording and virtual re-excavation using multimedia technologies. In a similar way, Krasniewicz [15] proposed a 360° visualization infrastructure to help archaeologists in their research work. In this case, virtual archaeology was not used to restore knowledge, but to acquire new knowledge.

More recently, Pujol Tost et al. [26], contributed to the interaction, perception and simulation in VR for archaeology, and not only for the visualization of 3D models.

Le Cloirec [17], focused on 3D models, and further extended their use in immersive structures as a perception tool to evaluate the functional or symbolical role of some architectural elements and spaces.

Vergnieux [33], considered the simulation for the movement and gesture validation, the physical coherency and the technical feasibility of constructions.

According to new trends in the domain, Forte [11], one of the mainstays of virtual archaeology, suggests to replace the terminology related to a “reconstitution of the past”, by the expression “Cyber-Archaeology” relying on a “simulation of the past”.

Christou et al. [3] used an immersive CAVE-like structure combined with haptic devices and 3D sound for pedagogic purposes in a museum exhibition, but also as a tool for research. The Archave project [32] integrated also a CAVE-like structure and proposed tools for archaeologists to study historical sites. Another interesting work [10] presents a tool where archaeologists collaborate remotely on shared virtual objects through realistic avatars reconstructed from 3D cameras. Their virtual reality framework proposed a rich toolkit of interaction features, including navigation, measurement, lighting and dragging. However, due to real-time 3D capture and rendering of users, the visualisation was restricted to small image resolution (320x240 pixels) to ensure fluid rendering (25 FPS).

All of these contributions were based on models of sites manually build in 3D modelling tools. Another trend, when dealing with existing buildings or sites, is to consider photomodeling tools. This technique allows to automatically build textured 3D models from sets of photos of a site or object. An interesting example is presented in [5] with the provision of an immersive virtual reality interface for Shawback Castle. The immersive environment consists of a large stereoscopic projected wall, with infrared tracking. The work focuses on semantic annotations of blocks to ensure consistency with a knowledge database associated to the model.

In parallel, during the last decade, many contributions in the domain focussed on 3D restitution of past structures or environments visualization. In particular, there has been a great deal of effort to communicate archaeological and historical heritage to a large public through various media such as web [22], second life environments [21], or museum exhibitions [1].

## 2 GOING FURTHER

We believe that fields of virtual reality and archaeology may enjoy mutual benefits triggered by questions such as:

1. How can virtual reality, considered in its whole scope from science and technology to human sciences and natural sciences, benefit to archaeology?
2. What are the specific challenges brought by archaeology to virtual reality?

### Virtual reality for archaeology

Immersion, and particularly immersion in scale one environments, should allow archaeologists to access to the evaluation of symbolical or cultural roles of architectural buildings. Moreover, she or he archaeologist can be placed in situations to validate specific activities, ranging from displacements within environments to more complex interactions by using haptic devices to evaluate the physical feasibility or the coherency of a task. This aspect is strongly pertained to ergonomics and musculo-skeletal activity [35]. Figure 1 illustrates an experiment performed in our virtual reality platform. In order to evaluate ergonomics, the user was immersed in a virtual environment mimicking the real environment. Motion capture, electromyographic (EMG) electrodes, force sensors and subjective questionnaires were used to evaluate sensory-motor aspects.




Figure 1 A Manipulation task in real (left), virtual (centre), virtual with haptic (right).

Collaborative virtual reality can be of a great help to build or modify shared the 3D environments through the collaboration of multiple users. In such a context, we proposed the Collaviz open-source software platform [6] dedicated to real-time remote collaboration for the design and visualization of scientific simulation-based applications. We connected two immersive infrastructures, Immersia and UCL VR platform, enabling two distant users to collaborate on the same digital world, a 3D model of the underground propagation of a seismic wave, using shared tools [8](Figure 2).




Figure 2 Collaborative work between Immersia and UCL VR platform.

It is worth noting that a lot of work is produced on integrating digital 3D models of large landscapes such as countries (<http://www.territoire3D.com>) in virtual reality. In a similar way, reconstitutions of archaeological sites in their real geographical context are certainly a great challenge.

#### From archaeology to virtual reality

Until now, virtual reality has been essentially focussed on industrial applications. In this context, the user interacts with recent, smooth and clean, manufactured objects modelled by well-known CAD tools. In the context of archaeology, manipulated objects may be closer from nature, less steady, thus represented by more complex geometric models. The management of this new kind of data requires the study of new methodologies. Since reconstitution of historical sites is an empirical activity, subject to assumptions, approximations and modifications, virtual reality will have to propose methods to handle this uncertainty and to enable dynamic modification of the digital universe. Realism and objective credibility of the rendering are diametrically opposed issues. Objective credibility is an intrinsic quality of the model depending of the archaeologist perception. As an X-ray picture has a functional credibility for a medical practitioner, virtual archaeological models and universes must be designed to ensure this credibility. This will lead the development of generic methods that should push the boundaries of virtual reality.

Scale-one immersion is a key characteristic proposed by virtual reality to archaeology, it is also a real issue in virtual reality while dealing with the concept of immersion within an environment at human size.

### 3 IMMERSIVE VIRTUAL REALITY IN IMMERSIA

We present the immersive platform Immersia, its role in the European project Visionair, and two projects related to archaeology that illustrate the possibilities such an environment.

#### Immersia platform

The immersive platform of the Irisa/Inria computer science laboratory is a large virtual-reality room dedicated to real-time, multimodal (vision, sound, haptic, BCI) and immersive interaction. It hosts experiments using interactive and collaborative virtual-reality applications that have multiple local or remote users.

Images are rendered on four glass screens: a front one, two sides and a ground. Dimensions are 9.6 m wide, 2.9 m deep and 3.1 m high. Over 15 millions pixels are displayed. The visual reproduction system combines thirteen HD projectors. A tracking system, composed of 16 infrared cameras, enables real objects to be tracked within the scene. Images are recomputed as the user moves to fit his point of view, together with high-resolution rendering, active stereoscopy and homogeneous colouring, thereby delivering a visually realistic experience. Spatial sound is rendered by speakers with 10.2 format or by headsets with virtual 5.1, controlled by the user's position.

#### Visionair project

Immersia is a key node of the FP7 European Project Visionair [14] which goal is to create a European infrastructure that should be a unique, visible and attractive entry towards high-level visualisation facilities for



Virtual Reality, Scientific Visualisation, Ultra High Definition, Augmented Reality and Virtual Services. These facilities, distributed across about twenty countries in Europe, are open and easily accessible to a wide set of research communities. Both physical access and virtual services are provided by the infrastructure. Full access to visualization-dedicated software is offered through call for projects, while physical access to high level platforms will be partially accessible to other scientists, free of charge, based on the excellence of the project submitted.

#### Archaeology research projects in Immersia

Two projects started in Immersia, in collaboration with two different archaeology research laboratories. The first one, with the Creaah, a laboratory of archaeology, archeoscience and history, relies on immersive reconstitutions of several paleolithic existing buildings. Associated with interactive tools, the project enables archaeologists to extract new knowledge *ex situ*. The second one, with the French preventive archaeology research institute INRAP, focuses on the immersive reconstitution of one Gallo-Roman ruined site, the Bais domain.

##### The Carn monument

This project has with three objectives: (i) propose a common workflow to reconstruct archaeological sites as 3D models in fully immersive systems, (ii) provide archaeologists with tools and interaction metaphors to exploit immersive reconstitutions, and (iii) develop the use and access of immersive systems to archaeologists.

In a first contribution [36], we proposed a procedure to model the central chamber of the Carn monument, and compare several softwares to deploy it in an immersive structure (Figure ).



Figure 3 Immersive work in the virtual chamber of Carn

We then proposed two immersive implementations of the central chamber, with simple interaction tools, and evaluated them with the help of archaeologists. The results obtained in this work validated the possibility and relevance of working in an immersive virtual reality structure.

##### The gallo-roman Bais site

Following a different approach, we started from a 3D model proposed by an archeologist of INRAP based on the excavation of a gallo-roman villa in Bais, France [16]. We then built an immersive application from this model, focusing on the navigation inside the site (Figure ).



Figure 4 Exploring the Bais Gallo-Roman villa

The goals of this work, still under progress, are (i) to validate at scale-one, different assumptions made by the archaeologists during the modelling step, (ii) to study the possible human displacements in the site, (iii) to better understand the life of the people with the immersive perception of the place.

Virtual reality provides in this case tools for argumentation, reasoning and understanding to the archaeologists, by placing them at the centre of the simulation.

## 4 CONCLUSION

This paper focussed on cross-domain mutual enrichment between archaeology and virtual reality. On the one hand, Virtual reality could be a real improvement for archaeological field by ensuring the ability to propose collaborative modelling and analysis of archaeological objects in their real geographical context. On the second hand, the application field of archaeology could provide complex models that will lead to propose new generic methods for complexity management and interaction in an immersive context for large environments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bale K., Abbott D., Gowigati R., Pritchard D., Chapman P.: *Linking Evidence with Heritage Visualization using a large Scale Collaborative Interface*. VAST11 Int. Symp. on Virtual Reality, Archaeology and Intelligent Cultural Heritage. 121-128
- [2] Bowman D. A., Hodges L. F.: *An Evaluation of Techniques for Grabbing and Manipulating Remote Objects in Immersive Virtual Environments*, SI3D97 Symp. on Interactive 3D graphics, ACM Press, p. 35-38.
- [3] Christou C., Angus C., Loscos C., Dettori A., Roussou M.: *A versatile large-scale multimodal vr system for cultural heritage visualization*. VRST06 Symp. on Virtual reality software and technology, ACM, p. 133-14
- [4] Cruz-Neira C., Sandin D. J., DeFanti T. A., Kenyon R. V., Hart J. C.: *The CAVE: audio visual experience automatic virtual environment*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 35, Issue 6, P. 64 – 72, 1992
- [5] Drap P., Durand A., Nedir M., Seinturier J., Papini O., Boucault F., Chapman P., Viant W., Vannini G., Nuccioti M.: *Towards a Photogrammetry and Virtual Reality Based Heritage Information System: A Case Study of Shawbak Castle* Int. Symp. on Virtual Reality, Archaeology and Cultural Heritage, VAST'2006 p. 67-74
- [6] Dupont F., Duval T., Fleury C., Forest J., Gouranton V., Lando P., Laurent T., Lavoue G., Schmutz A.: *Collaborative Scientific Visualization: The COLLA VIZ Framework*. In JVRC 2010 Joint Virtual Reality Conference of EuroVR - EGVE – VEC, 2010
- [7] Duval T., Fleury C. : *PAC-C3D: A New Software Architectural Model for Designing 3D Collaborative Virtual Environments*, in Proc. of ICAT 2011 Int. Conf. on Artificial Reality and Telexistence, 28-30
- [8] Fleury C., Duval T., Gouranton V., Steed A.: *Evaluation of Remote Collaborative Manipulation for Scientific Data Analysis*. In VRST 2012 - Symp. on Virtual Reality Software and Technology, ACM
- [9] Fleury C., Chauffaut A., Duval T., Gouranton V., Arnaldi B.: *A Generic Model for Embedding Users' Physical Workspaces into Multi-Scale Collaborative Virtual Environments*, ICAT 2010 Int. Conf. on Artificial Reality and Telexistence, 1-3, 2010.
- [10] Forte M., Kurillo G.: *Cyberarchaeology: Experimenting with teleimmersive archaeology*. In Virtual Systems and Multimedia 2010
- [11] Forte M.: *Cyber-Archaeology: Notes on the simulation of the past*, in Virtual Archeology Review, Vol. 2, Num. 4, p. 7-18, May 2011
- [12] Fraser M., Benford S., Hindmarsh J., Heath C.: *Supporting Awareness and Interaction through Collaborative Virtual Interfaces*, UIST '99 Symp. on User interface software and technology, ACM Press, p. 27-36.
- [13] Fuchs P., Moreau G., Guitton P., *Virtual Reality: Concepts and Technologies*, July 2011, CRC Press
- [14] Kopecki, A., Wössner, U., Mavrikios, D., Rentzos, L., Weidig, C., Roucoules, L., Ntofon, O., Reed, M., Dumont, G., Bündgens, D., Milecki, A., Baranyi, P., Noel, F., Masclet, C., Attene, M., Giannini, F., Spagnuolo, M., *VISIONAIR: VISION Advanced Infrastructure for Research*. SBC Journal on 3D Interactive Systems 2, 2011, 40-43.
- [15] Krasniewicz L.: *Immersive imaging technologies for archeological research*. In CAA00: The 28th Conf. on Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology, p. 163-169
- [16] Le Cloirec G., *Bais, Le bourg St Père, proposition de restitution des volumes*, dans Dominique Pouille - "La villa gallo-romaine du bourg St Père à Bais (35)", DFS de fouille archéologique préventive, Inédit, Rennes, Inrap 2011.
- [17] Le Cloirec G., *Les atouts de l'imagerie 3D pour l'archéologie de terrain*, proc. of Virtual Retrospect, p. 29-36, 2009
- [18] Massimino M. J., Sheridan T. B., *Sensory Substitution for Force Feedback in Teleoperation*, Presence, vol. 2, n° 4, p. 344-352, 1993.
- [19] Mine M. R., *Virtual Environment Interaction Techniques*, Technical Report n° TR95-018, Univ. of North Carolina, Dep. of Computer Science, 1995.
- [20] Mine M. R., Brooks, Jr. F. P., Sequin C. H., *Moving Objects in Space: Exploiting Pro-prioception in Virtual Environment Interaction* », Computer Graphics, vol. 31, p. 19-26, 1997.
- [21] Morgan C. L.: *(re)building catalhoyuk : Changing virtual reality in archaeology*. Archaeologies, Journal of the World Archaeological Congress 5(3):468-487, 2009

- [22] Pescarin S., Calori L., Camporesi C., Ioia M. D., Forte M., Galeazzi F., Imboden S., Moro A., Palombini A., Vassallo V., Vico L.: *Back to 2nd AD AVR on-line experience with Virtual Rome Project*. VAST 2008 Int. Symp. on Virtual Reality, Archaeology and Cultural Heritage, p.109-113.
- [23] Pierce J. S., Stearns B. C., Pausch R., *Voodoo dolls : seamless interaction at multiple scales in virtual environments*, I3D99 Symp. on Interactive 3D graphics, ACM Press, p. 141-145.
- [24] Pimentel K., Teixeira K., *Virtual Reality: through the new looking glass*. Blue Ridge Summit, Windcrest/McGraw-Hill, 1994
- [25] Poupyrev I., Weghorst S., Billinghamurst M., Ichikawa T., *Egocentric Object Manipulation in Virtual Environments: Empirical Evaluation of Interaction Technique*, Computer Graphics Forum, 17(3), 1998.
- [26] Pujol Tost L., Sureda Jubrany M., *Vers une Réalité Virtuelle véritablement interactive*, proc. of Virtual Retrospect, p. 77-81, 2007
- [27] Reilly P., *Towards a virtual archaeology*. Computer Applications in Archaeology 1990, British Archaeological reports (Int. Series 565), p. 133-139.
- [28] Sreng J., Lécuyer A., Andriot C., Arnaldi B., *Spatialized Haptic Rendering: Providing Impact Position Information in 6DOF Haptic Simulations Using Vibrations*, IEEE-Virtual Reality Int. Conf. 2009.
- [29] Stoakley R., Conway M. J., Pausch R., *Virtual Reality on a WIM: Interactive Worlds in Miniature* », CHI '95 Proc. of the SIGCHI conf. on Human factors in computing systems, ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., p. 265-272, 1995.
- [30] Sutherland I., *Sketchpad*, "A Man-Machine Graphical Communication System Garland Publishing", New York 1963, MIT Press
- [31] Sutherland I., *The Ultimate Display*, Proc. of IFIP Congress. p. 506–508. 1965
- [32] Vote E., Acevedo D., Laidlaw D., Joukowsky M.: *Discovering petra: Archaeological analysis in vr*. In IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications (2002), p. 38–49
- [33] Vergnien R., *Archaeological research and 3D models (Restitution, validation and simulation)*, in Virtual Archeology Review, Vol. 2, Num. 4, p. 39-43, May 2011
- [34] Zhang Y., Sotudeh R., Fernando T., *The Use of Visual and Auditory Feedback for Assembly Task Performance in a Virtual Environment*, SCCG '05 Conf. on Computer graphics, ACM Press, p. 59-66.
- [35] Pontonnier C., Dumont G., Samani A., Madeleine P., and Badawi M. *Designing and Evaluating a Workstation in Real and Virtual environment: from Digital Mock-up to Realization*, IEEE Int. Conf. on Cognitive Infocommunications CogInfoCom 2012, In press
- [36] Gagne R., Barreau J. B., Gouranton V., Cousseau F., *Large-scale immersive reconstitution of a Neolithic corbel dome*, submission